Electronic Supplemental Material

"Why people with high alexithymia make more utilitarian judgements: The role of empathic concern and deontological inclinations"

Xiangyi Zhang ^{a, b,1}, Zhihui Wu ^{a,1}, Shenglan Li ^a, Ji Lai ^a, Meng Han ^a, Xiyou Chen ^c, Chang Liu ^d, Daoqun Ding ^{a, b,*}

^a Department of Psychology, School of Education Science, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, China

^b Cognition and Human Behavior Key Laboratory of Hunan Province, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, China

^c Changsha Experimental High School, Changsha, China

^d Department of Criminal Justice, Ningxia Police Vocational College, Ningxia, China

¹Xiangyi Zhang and Zhihui Wu contributed equally to this study.

* Correspondence should be addressed to Daoqun Ding, Department of Psychology, School of Education Science, Hunan Normal University, 36 Lushan Road, Changsha 410081, Hunan, China. E-mail: psychding@hunnu.edu.cn.

Group	DDF	DIF	EOT	TAS-20
High alexithymia $(n = 45)$	17.40 ± 1.80	24.49 ± 2.46	22.27 ± 2.80	64.16 ± 3.41
Low alexithymia $(n = 47)$	10.30 ± 1.83	13.17 ± 3.0	17.23 ± 2.81	40.70 ± 3.44

Table E1. The TAS-20 scores of the high and low alexithymia groups.

Note. DDF = difficulty describing feelings; DIF = difficulty identifying feelings; EOT = externally oriented thinking; TAS-20 = Toronto Alexithymia Scale-20.

Text E1. Results of the mediation analysis of empathic concern and deontological inclinations (D parameter) including the utilitarian inclinations (U parameter) as a covariate.

We have conducted a mediation analysis on empathic concern and deontological inclinations (*D* parameter) including the utilitarian inclinations (*U* parameter) as a covariate. The results revealed that the indirect effect of alexithymia on relative judgements via deontological inclinations was significant, $\beta = .191$, SE = .084, 95% CI = [.032, .364]. Alexithymia had a significant negative effect on deontological inclinations ($\beta = -.26$, *p* = .014), which in turn had a highly significant negative effect on relative judgements ($\beta = -.69$, *p* < .001).

The indirect effect of alexithymia on relative judgements via empathic concern and deontological inclinations was also significant, $\beta = .077$, SE = .036, 95% CI = [.018, .161]. Specifically, alexithymia had a highly significant negative effect on empathic concern ($\beta = -.42$, p < .001), and empathic concern had a significant positive effect on deontological inclinations ($\beta = .25$, p = .017), which in turn had a highly significant negative effect on relative effect on relative effect on relative effect on the significant negative effect on relative effect on relative effect on the significant negative effect on relative effect on the significant negative effect on relative effect on relative effect on the significant negative effect on the significant negative effect on relative effect on the significant negative effect on the significant negative effect on relative effect on the significant negative effect on the significant negative effect on relative provide the significant negative effect on the si