

**Electronic Supplementary Material 2**

ESM2. CFA results: Variance estimates of items and latent variables, and proportion of variance explained ( $R^2$ ).

Variiances	Estimate	SE	z-value	p-value	Standardised estimate
Items					
TP1	0.58	.021	27.51	< .001	.844
TP2	0.41	.016	25.80	< .001	.720
TP3	0.73	.027	27.09	< .001	.802
TP4	0.32	.018	17.53	< .001	.446
AB1	0.26	.031	8.49	< .001	.317
AB2	0.98	.036	27.18	< .001	.862
AB3	0.39	.016	25.21	< .001	.753
AB4	0.86	.035	24.63	< .001	.734
OA1	0.44	.018	25.06	< .001	.623
OA2	0.53	.021	25.12	< .001	.628
OA3	0.39	.016	24.27	< .001	.565
OA4	1.17	.041	28.42	< .001	.910
SP1	0.68	.027	25.41	< .001	.693
SP2	0.89	.034	26.35	< .001	.751
SP3	0.35	.015	23.59	< .001	.607
SP4	0.49	.020	24.41	< .001	.642
CO1	0.29	.014	20.85	< .001	.519
CO2	0.40	.018	22.57	< .001	.577
CO3	0.61	.026	23.21	< .001	.602
CO4	0.50	.020	24.82	< .001	.675
Latent factors					
TP	0.02	.005	5.07	< .001	.224
AB	0.40	.034	11.81	< .001	.710
OA*	0.001				.004
SP	0.06	.011	5.10	< .001	.184
CO	0.15	.012	11.78	< .001	.538
FC	0.08	.012	7.14	< .001	1.00

\*Note. The Openness to Alternatives latent factor had a negative variance, although not significantly different from 0 (estimate = -.014, SE = .010,  $p = .15$ ), i.e., a Heywood case. We replace it by a fixed, small but positive value (0.001)

Explained variance	$R^2$
Items	
TP1	.156
TP2	.280
TP3	.198
TP4	.554
AB1	.683
AB2	.138
AB3	.247
AB4	.266
OA1	.377
OA2	.372
OA3	.435
OA4	.090
SP1	.307
SP2	.249
SP3	.393
SP4	.358
CO1	.481
CO2	.423
CO3	.398
CO4	.325
Latent factors	
TP	.776
AB	.290
OA	.996
SP	.816
CO	.462